# Unusual low-temperature phase in  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles

Y. Ishiwata,<sup>1[,\\*](#page-5-0)</sup> S. Suehiro,<sup>1</sup> M. Hagihala,<sup>1</sup> X. G. Zheng,<sup>1</sup> T. Kawae,<sup>2</sup> O. Morimoto,<sup>3</sup> and Y. Tezuka<sup>4</sup>

1 *Department of Physics, Saga University, Saga 840-8502, Japan*

2 *Department of Applied Quantum Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan*

<sup>3</sup>*Hiroshima Synchrotron Radiation Center, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-0046, Japan*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Advanced Physics, Hirosaki University, Hirosaki 036-8561, Japan*

(Received 6 June 2010; revised manuscript received 5 August 2010; published 3 September 2010)

We present a systematic investigation of the crystal and electronic structures and the magnetic properties above and below the metal-insulator transition of ball-milled  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles and  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  microparticles. For this research, we performed a Rietveld analysis of synchrotron radiation x-ray diffraction data, O *K* x-ray absorption spectroscopy,  $V L<sub>3</sub>$  resonant inelastic x-ray scattering, and magnetic-susceptibility measurements. This study reveals an unusual low-temperature phase that involves the formation of an elongated and less-tilted V-V pair, a narrowed energy gap, and an induced paramagnetic contribution from the nanoparticles. We show that the change in the crystal structure is consistent with the change in the electronic states around the Fermi level, which leads us to suggest that the Peierls mechanism contributes to the energy splitting of the *a*1*<sup>g</sup>* state. Furthermore, we find that the high-temperature rutile structure of the nanoparticles is almost identical to that of the microparticles.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.82.115404](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.82.115404)

PACS number(s): 78.67.Bf, 71.30.+h, 78.70.Dm, 61.46.Df

# **I. INTRODUCTION**

Since its discovery 50 years ago, the temperature-induced metal-insulator transition (MIT) in  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  has attracted significant attention. $1-12$  $1-12$  In addition to structural and magnetic phase transitions near  $340$  K, VO<sub>2</sub> exhibits a resistivity jump of more than four orders of magnitude. $6,9,13$  $6,9,13$  $6,9,13$  The hightemperature metallic phase adopts a rutile  $(R)$  structure that involves the regular spacing of  $V^{4+}$  ions along the *c* axis, as shown in Fig.  $1(a)$  $1(a)$ , and the magnetic susceptibility for this phase is relatively large, which suggests the importance of electron correlations.<sup>13</sup> The low-temperature insulating phase is a monoclinic  $(M_1)$  structure involving pairing and off-axis displacement of alternate  $V^{4+}$  ions along the rutile  $c_r$  axis, as shown in Fig.  $1(b)$  $1(b)$ . The formation of the V-V pair yields a nonmagnetic ground state.

Electronic states in  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  give rise to energy transfer near the Fermi level  $(E_F)$  across the MIT, as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-1-1)<sup>[4](#page-5-6)[,14](#page-5-7)[,15](#page-5-8)</sup> The  $a_{1g}$  and the  $e_g^{\pi}$  states originate from the crystal-field splitting of the V  $\overline{3}d$  levels. The  $a_{1g}$  orbitals are directed along the *cr* axis and exhibit a nonbonding character in the high-temperature phase. Furthermore, in the hightemperature phase, the  $e_g^{\pi}$  orbitals mix with the O  $2p\pi$  orbitals, leading to an upward energy shift that results in their overlapping the energy of the  $a_{1g}$  orbitals. The monoclinic distortion in the low-temperature phase causes the  $a_{1g}$  orbitals to split into bonding and antibonding orbitals and the  $e_g^{\pi}$ orbitals to split off from the lower  $a_{1g}$  orbitals because of the strong  $\pi$  bonding that results from the short V-O distance. However, the role of V-V pairing versus electron correlations for the splitting of the  $a_{1g}$  orbitals remains open to debate. $5,7,8$  $5,7,8$  $5,7,8$ 

Recent experimental results have revealed a contribution of electron correlations to the MIT in  $VO<sub>2</sub>$ . Infrared spectroscopy and nanoscale microscopy have shown divergent effective quasiparticle mass in approaching the insulating phase from the metallic phase in  $VO_2$ .<sup>[16](#page-5-12)</sup> In addition, the MIT has

been shown to be independent of the structural phase transition for thin films of  $VO_2$  under an applied voltage,  $17$  and the metallic monoclinic phase emerges under an applied pressure of over 10 GPa[.18](#page-5-14)

In addition, by including the V-V pair as the cluster, the cluster-dynamical mean-field theory (CDMFT) yields the insulating  $M_1$  phase in VO<sub>2</sub>, leading to the proposition of a correlation-assisted Peierls-transition model in which both the electron correlations and the structural distortion contribute to the MIT. $<sup>11</sup>$  Supporting these theoretical results is the</sup> fact that the spectral function obtained in the CDMFT has been experimentally confirmed using soft x-ray photoemission and O  $K$  x-ray absorption spectroscopy  $(XAS)$ .<sup>[19](#page-5-16)</sup>

Doping  $VO_2$  with Cr creates the monoclinic  $M_2$  and the triclinic *T* phase, which indicates the importance of electron correlations in the MIT. $^{20}$  It is possible that the use of the nanostructures also give crystal structural changes to  $VO<sub>2</sub>$ . Thin films of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  have been shown to experience substrate strain, which decreases the band-gap energy in the lowtemperature insulating phase. $21$  This result suggests that the nanostructures can be used to investigate the role of lattice distortion and V-V pairing in determining the spectrum of electronic states in  $VO<sub>2</sub>$ .

Highly crystalline metal-oxide nanoparticles can be fabricated by grinding with a planetary ball mill.<sup>22</sup> For  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles, the monoclinic distortion is expected to be affected by surface or finite-size effects, so it is important to elucidate the properties of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles. Although  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles have been investigated in detail on the large hysteresis width $23-28$  $23-28$  and the enhanced optical response in the visible region[,27](#page-6-4) the characteristics of the V-V pair and the V 3*d* levels in  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles have not been previously reported. Therefore, we present herein a systematic investigation of the crystal and electronic structure and the magnetic properties above and below the MIT for ball-milled  $VO<sub>2</sub>$ nanoparticles and for  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  microparticles, the latter of which are used as a reference sample. By performing a Rietveld analysis of synchrotron radiation x-ray diffraction (SR-XRD)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (Color online) Crystal structure of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  in (a) hightemperature rutile phase and (b) low-temperature monoclinic phase. V-V pairs and the shortest V-O bonds are illustrated.

measurements, we find an elongation and a reduction in the tilting of the V-V pair in the low-temperature monoclinic phase. In addition, O  $K$  XAS and V  $L_3$  resonant inelastic x-ray scattering (RIXS) measurements of the nanoparticles reveal that both the  $e_g^{\pi}$  and the bonding  $a_{1g}$  state move to  $E_F$ . Finally, we show that the change in the lattice distortion is consistent with the change in the electronic states around  $E_F$ , which suggests that the Peierls mechanism contributes to the energy splitting of the  $a_{1g}$  state.

#### **II. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD**

VO2 nanoparticles were prepared by ball milling from  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  microparticles (99%, Furuuchi Chemical Corporation). Both container and balls used were made of agate or zirconia. For experiments necessitating no surface oxidation, the mechanical milling was performed in  $H_2(1\%)/Ar$  gas; otherwise it was performed in air. The air-milled nanoparticles were used in the SR-XRD experiments whereas the  $H_2(1\%)$ /Ar-gas-milled nanoparticles were used in XAS, RIXS, and superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) experiments. After ball milling, the XRD patterns acquired with an x-ray diffractometer (RINT-1100, Rigaku) that used Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation were identical irrespective of atmosphere (data not shown). Figure  $3(a)$  $3(a)$  shows a highresolution scanning-electron-microscopy (SEM) image of the ball-milled particles and Fig.  $3(b)$  $3(b)$  shows the statistical distribution of the particle diameters. The histogram peaks at  $\sim$ 25 nm and has a long tail that extends toward larger sizes. The real variation in particle size should be narrower because

<span id="page-1-1"></span>

FIG. 2. Schematic energy diagram of V 3*d* bands around the Fermi level for  $VO_2$  (Refs. [4,](#page-5-6) [14,](#page-5-7) and [15](#page-5-8)).

<span id="page-1-2"></span>

FIG. 3. (a) High-resolution SEM image and (b) statistical distribution of particle diameters for the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  nanosample.

it is difficult to find smaller particles due to their higher tendency to aggregate compared with larger isolated particles. The microparticles and the ball-milled nanoparticles are hereinafter referred to as "bulk" and "nano," respectively.

SR-XRD data were collected at the BL02B2 beamline of SPring-8 with  $2\theta$  ranging from  $2.5^{\circ}$  to  $75^{\circ}$  with  $0.01^{\circ}$  steps using a Debye-Scherrer camera with an imaging plate, $2<sup>9</sup>$  and the incident x-ray wavelength was 0.50221 Å. All powderdiffraction data were analyzed by the Rietveld method using the program RIETAN-2000.<sup>[30](#page-6-6)</sup>

XAS measurements in the partial-fluorescence-yield (PFY) mode and the total-electron-yield (TEY) mode and RIXS measurements were performed on the undulator beamline BL-2C at the KEK photon factory.<sup>31</sup> The soft x-ray spectrometer is based on the Rowland-circle geometry in which an input slit, a spherical grating, and a multichannel detector lie on the focal circle[.32](#page-6-8) The variable slit width was set to a maximum of 90  $\mu$ m for the PFY-XAS experiment and a minimum of 10  $\mu$ m for the RIXS experiment. The multichannel detector was positioned to detect photons with energies from  $\sim$ 485 to  $\sim$ 545 eV. The resolution of the beamline spectrometer was set to about 0.1 eV, and the total resolution of the RIXS experiment was about 1 eV. The incidence angle was  $\sim$  20 $\degree$  with respect to the sample surface. With respect to the incident beam, the spectrometer was placed at an angle of 90° measured in the vertical plane for the XAS measurements. For the RIXS measurements, it was positioned at the same angle, but measured in the horizontal plane. Magneticsusceptibility measurements were performed using a commercial SQUID magnetometer at 5000 Oe.

### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **A. Synchrotron radiation x-ray diffraction and Rietveld refinement**

Figure  $4(a)$  $4(a)$  shows the low-angle region of SR-XRD patterns for the bulk  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  samples (thick solid line) and nano-VO<sub>2</sub> samples (thin solid line) at 381 K, which is above the MIT temperature. The two XRD patterns are normalized to the respective maximum intensities. Although the peak widths for the nanosample increase relative to the bulk sample (this is attributed to the reduction in the crystallite size, as clarified by a Rietveld analysis), the peak positions and the relative peak intensities of the two samples are almost constant. These observations demonstrate that the crys-

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 4. (Color online) Low-angle region of SR-XRD patterns for the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk sample (thick solid line) and nanosample (thin solid line) at (a) 381 K and (b) 284 K. Some minor temperatureindependent peaks are marked by open squares.

tal structure in the high-temperature phase does not change as the particle size is reduced by ball milling. The XRD pattern for the bulk sample contains some minor peaks (open squares) that mostly correspond to the XRD pattern of  $V_6O_{13}.^{33}$  $V_6O_{13}.^{33}$  $V_6O_{13}.^{33}$ 

Figure  $4(b)$  $4(b)$  shows the low-angle region of the SR-XRD patterns for the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk sample (thick solid line) and nanosample (thin solid line) at 284 K, which is below the MIT temperature. The clear difference between the XRD patterns measured at 381 and 284 K is attributed to the structural phase transition. The temperature-independent impurity peaks (open squares) are also present in the XRD pattern for the bulk sample at 284 K. A comparison of the 284-K XRD patterns for the bulk and nanosamples shows slight shifts in peak positions as well as large differences in relative peak intensities.

The Rietveld analysis of the SR-XRD patterns for the VO2 bulk and nanosamples was performed using data in the range of  $5^{\circ}$  <  $2\theta$  < 74°, except for the region around the isolated impurity peaks for the bulk sample. We used the modified split pseudo-Voigt function in RIETAN-2000 as the peak profile function for all the XRD patterns. Figures  $5(a)$  $5(a)$ – $5(d)$ show the result of the Rietveld fits for the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk and nanosamples at 381 and 284 K. For the high-temperature phase, the space group used for both the bulk and nanosamples was  $P4_2/mnm$  (tetragonal, rutile) with  $V^{4+}$  ions occupying the 2*a* site: (0,0,0),  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  and O<sup>2</sup>- ions 4*f*:  $(x, x, 0), (\overline{x}, \overline{x}, 0), (\overline{x} + \frac{1}{2}, x + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}),$  and  $(x + \frac{1}{2}, \overline{x} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . For the low-temperature phase, the space group used for both samples was  $P2_1/c$  (monoclinic) with  $V^{4+}$  ions 4*e*:  $(x, y, z)$ ,  $(\bar{x}, y + \frac{1}{2}, \bar{z} + \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ , and  $(x, \bar{y} + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2})$  and O<sup>2−</sup> ions two 4*e*. The ratio of the isotropic displacement parameters of the V atom to those of the O atom for the nanosample was fixed at the corresponding value obtained from the bulk sample. The average crystallite size of the nanosample is estimated to be  $\sim$  18 nm by applying the pseudo-Voigt function of Thompson, Cox, and Hastings in the procedure of Finger *et al.* in RIETAN-2000. The refined structure parameters and the re-

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

FIG. 5. (Color online) Observed and calculated SR-XRD patterns for the bulk sample at (a)  $381$  K and (b)  $284$  K and for the nanosample at  $(c)$  381 K and  $(d)$  284 K.

liability factors for the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk and nanosamples are listed in Table [I.](#page-3-0) The structure parameters for the nanosample are one order of magnitude less accurate than those of the bulk sample. For the high-temperature rutile phase at 381 K, the lattice constants and atomic coordinates of the bulk and nanosamples differ by less than 0.3%; so the nanoparticle effect is not clearly observed. For the low-temperature monoclinic phase at 284 K, the lattice constants remain stable to within 0.2% whereas the atomic coordinates for V are found to vary significantly.

The bond lengths and angles associated with the V-V pair are listed in Table [II](#page-3-1) [see also Fig.  $1(b)$  $1(b)$ ]. We find that the V-V-pair bond lengthens and that the alternating displacements of the V atoms perpendicular to the  $c_r$  axis are reduced for the nanosample. Furthermore, we find that the shortest V-O distance increases for the nanosample.

### **B. X-ray absorption spectroscopy and resonant inelastic x-ray scattering spectroscopy**

Under O *K* excitation, XAS is useful for investigating the unoccupied density of states on the V 3*d* band because of covalent mixing between O 2p and V  $3d^{34}$  $3d^{34}$  $3d^{34}$  Furthermore, taking advantage of the PFY mode using a soft x-ray emission spectrometer allows us to obtain a spectrum that is less sensitive to surface states[.35](#page-6-11)[,36](#page-6-12) Figure [6](#page-3-2) shows O *K* XAS spectra in PFY mode obtained for the bulk samples (thick solid line with squares) and nanosamples (thin solid line with circles) at 370 K (solid symbols) and at room temperature (open symbols), which is above and below the MIT temperature. The spectra are scaled to the height of the first peak, which is located at approximately 529 eV. We find that, for the bulk sample, the O  $K$  edge shifts by  $\sim 0.2$  eV between

	Bulk (381 K)	Nano (381 K)	Bulk (284 K)	Nano (284 K)
$a\left(\AA\right)$	4.55072(3)	4.5533(4)	5.74613(10)	5.755(3)
$b\;(\text{\AA})$	4.55072(3)	4.5533(4)	4.52210(5)	4.5289(11)
$c(\AA)$	2.85046(2)	2.8586(3)	5.37822(10)	5.385(3)
$\beta$ (deg)	90	90	122.6081(10)	122.54(3)
$V(\AA^3)$	59.0303(7)	59.267(9)	117.723(4)	118.32(8)
x(V)	$\mathbf{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	0.23925(8)	0.2377(3)
y <sub>(V)</sub>	$\theta$	$\overline{0}$	0.97845(7)	0.9817(4)
z(V)	$\theta$	$\overline{0}$	0.02720(9)	0.0179(4)
$B_{\rm iso}({\rm V})$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )	0.623(5)	0.804(12)	0.281(6)	0.62(2)
$x\ (O^i)$	0.29957(9)	0.30025(16)	0.1055(4)	0.104(3)
$y(O^i)$	0.29957(9)	0.30025(16)	0.2107(4)	0.203(3)
$z(0^i)$	$\theta$	$\overline{0}$	0.2077(4)	0.211(3)
$B_{\rm iso}$ (O <sup>i</sup> ) ( $\rm \AA^2)$	0.407(9)	0.525	0.33(2)	0.73
$x\ (O^{ii})$			0.3985(4)	0.405(3)
$y$ ( $O^{ii}$ )			0.7055(4)	0.710 (3)
$z(0^{ii})$			0.2958(4)	0.303(3)
$B_{\rm iso}$ (O <sup>ii</sup> ) ( $\rm \AA^2)$			0.33(2)	0.73
$R_{\text{wp}}\ (\%)$	4.72	2.50	5.47	2.79
$R_{\rm I}$ $(\%)$	4.08	1.70	2.50	1.09
S	2.22	1.39	2.55	1.55

<span id="page-3-0"></span>TABLE I. Refined structure parameters and reliability factors for the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk and nanosamples. The crystal model for the refinement is space group  $P4_2$ /*mnm*, V in 2*a*, O in 4*f* at 381 K;  $P2_1/c$ , V in 4*e*, O in two 4*e* at 284 K.

the two spectra measured above and below the MIT temperature, which is consistent with previous results for a single crystal of  $\text{VO}_2$ .<sup>[19](#page-5-16)</sup>

The high-temperature phase of the nanosample exhibits an absorption edge at an energy almost equal to that of the bulk sample whereas the peak width increases toward the high-energy side of the first peak. The edge of the lowtemperature phase of the nanosample redshifts relative to the bulk sample. Each edge position is determined from the intersection of a baseline and a straight line extrapolated from the leading edge as shown in Fig. [6.](#page-3-2) The line fitting was done using the least-squares method. As a result, the edge shift in the low-temperature phase between the bulk and nanosamples is estimated to be  $0.11 \pm 0.03$  eV. The edge of the low-temperature phase is associated with the bottom of the  $e_g^{\pi}$  states. These results show that the electronic states of the nanosample clearly change in going from the hightemperature to the low-temperature phase, which is similar to the behavior observed of the structure parameters, as discussed in the previous section.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>TABLE II. Bond distances and angles associated with V atoms in the low-temperature phases of the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk and nanosamples.

	Bulk $(284 K)$	Nano $(284 K)$
V-V (pair) $(\AA)$	2.6109(7)	2.642(3)
V-V-V (along the $c_r$ axis) (deg)	167.40(6)	170.71(12)
$V-O^{ii}(\AA)$	1.739(2)	1.792(12)

The x-ray photon energy  $(h\nu_{\text{out}})$  emitted by excitation of a core electron gives further information. In particular, setting the incident photon energy  $(h\nu_{\rm in})$  near the threshold of the metal 2*p* state causes a coherent second-order optical process (i.e., absorption and emission of a photon), which renders accessible the *d*-*d* transition that is dipole forbidden for a first-order optical process. $37$  $37$  Figure 7 shows the RIXS spectra plotted as a function of energy loss  $h\nu_{\text{out}} - h\nu_{\text{in}}$  under 512.5 eV excitation near the V  $L_3$  threshold (see the inset of Fig. [7](#page-4-0)) for the  $VO_2$  bulk samples (thick solid line) and nanosamples (thin solid line) at room temperature (i.e., be-

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

FIG. 6. (Color online) O *K* XAS (PFY) spectra measured using a soft x-ray emission spectrometer for the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk sample (thick solid line with squares) and the nanosample (thin solid line with circles) at 370 K (solid symbols) and at room temperature (open symbols). The fitted straight lines to determine the absorption edges in the low-temperature phases of the bulk and nanosamples are added.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 7. (Color online) RIXS spectra under 512.5 eV excitation, which is near the  $V L<sub>3</sub>$  threshold for the VO<sub>2</sub> bulk sample (thick solid line) and nanosample (thin solid line) at room temperature. The fitted straight lines to determine the energy positions of the lowest *d*-*d* transitions for the bulk and nanosamples are added. Inset shows  $V L_3$  XAS (TEY) spectra for the  $VO_2$  bulk sample (thick solid line) and nanosample (thin solid line) at room temperature.

low the MIT temperature). The two spectra are scaled to have the same intensity at both extremities in Fig. [7.](#page-4-0) Based on previous results for a single crystal of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$ ,<sup>[38](#page-6-14)</sup> the features at  $\sim$ -1 eV and -7 eV are attributed to *d*-*d* and chargetransfer excitations, respectively. The *d*-*d* transitions of the nanosample have an energy loss less than that of the bulk sample. The energy difference is estimated to be  $0.28 \pm 0.07$  eV from the same procedure as used for the determination of the O *K* absorption edge shift. The lowest *d*-*d* transition in the low-temperature phase of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  corresponds to the optical transition across the band gap from the lower  $a_{1g}$  level to the  $e_g^{\pi}$  level. As a result, from the energy difference between the lowest *d*-*d* transition and the O *K* absorption edge for the nanosample, the upward shift of the  $a_{1g}$ state to  $E_F$  is estimated to be  $0.17 \pm 0.08$  eV.

#### **C. Magnetic susceptibility**

Figure [8](#page-4-1) shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility for the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk sample (open squares) and nanosample (open circles). The magnetic susceptibility of the bulk sample rises sharply with a hysteresis that is

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

FIG. 8. Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility for  $VO<sub>2</sub> bulk sample (open squares) and nanosample (open circles).$ Inset shows the temperature dependence of the reciprocal magnetic susceptibility for the nanosample. The solid line is a Curie's law fit.

nearly constant because of the emergence of the nonmagnetic phase below the transition temperature. This result for the bulk sample is essentially in agreement with previous results[.13](#page-5-5) In contrast, the magnetic susceptibility for the nanosample monotonically increases with decreasing temperature in the low-temperature phase. As shown in the inset of Fig. [8,](#page-4-1) the temperature dependence of the reciprocal of the magnetic susceptibility for the nanosample clearly obeys Curie's law. The constituent ratio of the localized paramagnetic component is estimated to be about 16% using the Curie constant, where we assume a spin  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  with  $g=2$ , which corresponds to a  $V^{4+}$  ion. Although it is possible that isolated  $V<sup>4+</sup>$  atoms exist near the surface, the ratio of V surface atoms to the total number of atoms is approximately 3% for 18-nmdiameter nanoparticles,  $39$  which is equivalent to the average crystallite size in the nanosample. This result suggests that some of the nanoparticles in the nanosample are paramagnetic even in the low-temperature phase. However, further study using monodisperse nanoparticles is necessary to clarify this origin.

Above the transition temperature, the magnetic susceptibility of the nanosample is smaller than that of the bulk sample. This result is not attributed to the addition of nonmagnetic impurities due to ball milling because the magnetic susceptibility is observed to be almost independent of mill-ing time (data not shown).<sup>[40](#page-6-16)</sup> In addition, nonmagnetic impurities are not observed in the SR-XRD patterns for the nanosample, as shown in Figs.  $4(a)$  $4(a)$  and  $4(b)$ . These results suggest that electron correlations are reduced in the hightemperature metallic phase of the nanosample. The magnetic-susceptibility anomaly for the nanosample also appears in the width of the hysteresis. The increase in the width of the hysteresis should be elucidated within the framework of classical nucleation theory, as was done in earlier work using nanoparticles buried in silica.<sup>25</sup>

#### **D. Discussion**

We have investigated the crystal and electronic structures and the magnetic properties of the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  bulk and nanosamples, and we find significant differences in the properties of the two samples in the low-temperature phases. The low-temperature phase of the nanosample involves the formation of elongated and less-tilted V-V pairs, a narrowed energy gap, and an induced paramagnetic contribution.

The O *K* XAS of the low-temperature phase shows that the  $e_g^{\pi}$  state of the lowest unoccupied state redshifts by  $0.11 \pm 0.3$  eV for the nanosample relative to the bulk sample, which suggests that the  $\pi$  bonding between the  $e_g^{\pi}$ orbitals and the O 2*p* orbitals becomes weak for the nanosample. A qualitatively consistent result from the structural refinements is that the shortest V-O distance increases by about 3% for the nanosample, as shown in Table [II.](#page-3-1) Furthermore, using the solid-state table of Harrison $41$  shows that the energy splitting due to the  $\pi$  bonding decreases by about 0.25 eV for the nanosample relative to the bulk sample.<sup>42</sup> The half of the estimated value is found to agree with the redshift observed in the O *K* XAS within the experimental error.

For the low-temperature phase, the combination of the results of V *L*<sup>3</sup> RIXS and O *K* XAS indicates that the bonding  $a_{1g}$  state of the highest occupied state blueshifts by  $0.17 \pm 0.08$  eV for the nanosample. If the system follows the Peierls model,<sup>43</sup> the energy splitting of the  $a_{1g}$  orbital should be proportional to the displacement along the  $c_r$  axis that results from the formation of the V-V pair. The extent of the displacement is roughly determined by  $(a_m/2 - l_{V-V})/2$ , where  $a_m$  denotes the monoclinic *a* axis (corresponding approximately to  $2c_r$ ) and  $l_{V-V}$  denotes the V-V bond length. As a result, we find that, with respect to the values listed in Tables [I](#page-3-0) and [II,](#page-3-1) the displacement decreases by approximately 10% for the nanosample. The  $a_{1g}$  splitting for the bulk sample is about 2.5 eV, which is equivalent to the results for single  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  crystals.<sup>14,[15](#page-5-8)</sup> Assuming that electron-lattice interactions remain unchanged, we conclude that the  $a_{1g}$  splitting decreases by about 0.25 eV for the nanosample. The half of the estimated value is comparable to the shift of the bonding  $a_{1g}$  state observed in the nanosample within the experimental error. This result suggests that the  $a_{1g}$  splitting follows the Peierls model. However, recent experimental results show the crucial role of electron correlations in driving the MIT.<sup>16</sup> Because our experimental accuracy is not sufficient to discriminate between a pure Peierls transition and a correlationassisted Peierls transition, $<sup>11</sup>$  further study is required.</sup>

The nanosample mainly consists of spherelike nanoparticles, as shown in Fig.  $1(a)$  $1(a)$ , which we attribute to the minimization of surface energy. Maintaining such a highly symmetric shape should require the suppression of lattice distortion, which could be attributed to the formation of the elongated and less-tilted V-V pairs for the nanosample. In this case, controlling the particle size allows us to obtain further information, such as the relationship between the lattice distortion, the energy gap, and the paramagnetic contribution in the low-temperature phase.

## **IV. CONCLUSION**

We observe the formation of an elongated and less-tilted V-V pair, a narrowed energy gap, and an induced paramagnetic contribution in the low-temperature phase of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$ nanoparticles by performing a Rietveld analysis of SR-XRD data, O *K* XAS, V *L*<sup>3</sup> RIXS, and magnetic-susceptibility measurements. The change in the crystal structure is shown to be consistent with the change in the electronic states near  $E<sub>F</sub>$ . As a result, we suggest that the Peierls mechanism contributes to the energy splitting of the  $a_{1g}$  orbital in this system. The high-temperature rutile structure of the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles is almost identical to the structure of the  $VO<sub>2</sub>$ microparticles, which exhibit bulklike behavior. The change in the V-V pair within the nanoparticles is likely due to the competitive formation of a symmetric spherical shape.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We gratefully acknowledge the help of K. Kato and J. E. Kim at SPring-8 in the SR-XRD experiment. We would also like to thank J. Adachi at KEK for assistance in the XAS and RIXS experiments and we appreciate the support of Y. Inagaki at Kyushu University in carrying out the SQUID experiment. We are furthermore grateful to D. Tsuya and E. Watanabe at NIMS for assistance in the SEM investigation. This work was supported by the Takahashi Industrial and Economic Research Foundation. SEM investigation was supported by the "Nanotechnology Network Project" of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>\*ishiwata@cc.saga-u.ac.jp

- <span id="page-5-1"></span><sup>1</sup>F. J. Morin, *[Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.3.34)* **3**, 34 (1959).
- <sup>2</sup> J. B. Goodenough, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.117.1442)* **117**, 1442 (1960).
- <sup>3</sup>D. Adler and H. Brooks, *[Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.155.826)* **155**, 826 (1967).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span><sup>4</sup> J. B. Goodenough, [J. Solid State Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4596(71)90091-0) 3, 490 (1971).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span> $5$  A. Zylbersztejn and N. F. Mott, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.11.4383)* 11, 4383 (1975).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span><sup>6</sup>N. F. Mott, *Metal-Insulator Transition* (Taylor & Francis Press, London, 1990).
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>7R. M. Wentzcovitch, W. W. Schulz, and P. B. Allen, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.3389) Lett. 72[, 3389](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.3389) (1994).
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>8T. M. Rice, H. Launois, and J. P. Pouget, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.3042) **73**, [3042](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.3042) (1994).
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>9M. Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1039) **70**, [1039](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.1039) (1998).
- <sup>10</sup> A. Tanaka, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.73.152) **73**, 152 (2004).
- <span id="page-5-15"></span><sup>11</sup> S. Biermann, A. Poteryaev, A. I. Lichtenstein, and A. Georges, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.026404) **94**, 026404 (2005).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>12M. W. Haverkort, Z. Hu, A. Tanaka, W. Reichelt, S. V. Streltsov, M. A. Korotin, V. I. Anisimov, H. H. Hsieh, H.-J. Lin, C. T. Chen, D. I. Khomskii, and L. H. Tjeng, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.196404) **95**, [196404](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.196404) (2005).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>13C. N. Berglund and H. J. Guggenheim, [Phys. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.185.1022) **185**, 1022

 $(1969).$  $(1969).$  $(1969).$ 

- <span id="page-5-7"></span>14S. Shin, S. Suga, M. Taniguchi, M. Fujisawa, H. Kanzaki, A. Fujimori, H. Daimon, Y. Ueda, K. Kosuge, and S. Kachi, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.4993) [Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.41.4993) 41, 4993 (1990).
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>15M. M. Qazilbash, A. A. Schafgans, K. S. Burch, S. J. Yun, B. G. Chae, B. J. Kim, H. T. Kim, and D. N. Basov, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.115121) **77**, [115121](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.115121) (2008).
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>16M. M. Qazilbash, M. Brehm, B. G. Chae, P.-C. Ho, G. O. Andreev, B. J. Kim, S. J. Yun, A. V. Balatsky, M. B. Maple, F. Keilmann, H. T. Kim, and D. N. Basov, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1150124) **318**, 1750  $(2007).$  $(2007).$  $(2007).$
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>17B. J. Kim, Y. W. Lee, S. Choi, J. W. Lim, S. J. Yun, H. T. Kim, T. J. Shin, and H. S. Yun, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.235401)* 77, 235401 (2008).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>18E. Arcangeletti, L. Baldassarre, D. Di Castro, S. Lupi, L. Malavasi, C. Marini, A. Perucchi, and P. Postorino, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.196406) 98[, 196406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.196406) (2007).
- <span id="page-5-16"></span><sup>19</sup>T. C. Koethe, Z. Hu, M. W. Haverkort, C. Schü $\beta$ ler-Langeheine, F. Venturini, N. B. Brookes, O. Tjernberg, W. Reichelt, H. H. Hsieh, H.-J. Lin, C. T. Chen, and L. H. Tjeng, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.116402) 97[, 116402](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.116402) (2006).
- <span id="page-5-17"></span><sup>20</sup> J. P. Pouget, H. Launois, T. M. Rice, P. Dernier, A. Gossard, G. Villeneuve, and P. Hagenmuller, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.10.1801)* 10, 1801 (1974).
- <span id="page-6-0"></span> $21$  H. K. Kim, H. You, R. P. Chiarello, H. L. M. Chang, T. J. Zhang, and D. J. Lam, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.47.12900)* 47, 12900 (1993).
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>22X. G. Zheng, C. N. Xu, K. Nishikubo, K. Nishiyama, W. Higemoto, W. J. Moon, E. Tanaka, and E. S. Otabe, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.014464) **72**, [014464](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.72.014464) (2005).
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>23F. Guinneton, L. Sauques, J. C. Valmalette, F. Cros, and J. R. Gavarri, [J. Phys. Chem. Solids](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3697(01)00013-0) 62, 1229 (2001).
- $^{24}$ R. Lopez, L. A. Boatner, T. E. Haynes, R. F. Haglund, Jr., and L. C. Feldman, [Appl. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1415768) **79**, 3161 (2001).
- <span id="page-6-17"></span>25R. Lopez, T. E. Haynes, L. A. Boatner, L. C. Feldman, and R. F. Haglund, Jr., *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.224113)* **65**, 224113 (2002).
- <sup>26</sup> J. Y. Suh, R. Lopez, L. C. Feldman, and R. F. Haglund, Jr., [J.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1762995) [Appl. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1762995) **96**, 1209 (2004).
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>27R. Lopez, L. C. Feldman, and R. F. Haglund, Jr., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.177403) **93**[, 177403](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.177403) (2004).
- <span id="page-6-3"></span> $^{28}$ E. U. Doney, R. Lopez, L. C. Feldman, and R. F. Haglund, Jr., [Nano Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/nl8031839) **9**, 702 (2009).
- <span id="page-6-5"></span>29E. Nishibori, M. Takata, K. Kato, M. Sakata, Y. Kubota, S. Aoyagi, Y. Kuroiwa, M. Yamakawa, and N. Ikeda, [Nucl. In](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00639-8)[strum. Methods Phys. Res. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00639-8) **467-468**, 1045 (2001).
- <span id="page-6-6"></span><sup>30</sup> F. Izumi and T. Ikeda, [Mater. Sci. Forum](http://dx.doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.321-324.198) **321-324**, 198 (2000).
- <span id="page-6-7"></span>31M. Watanabe, A. Toyoshima, Y. Azuma, T. Hayaishi, Y. Yan, and A. Yagishita, [Proc. SPIE](http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.283983) 3150, 58 (1997).
- <span id="page-6-8"></span>32Y. Harada, H. Ishii, M. Fujisawa, Y. Tezuka, S. Shin, M. Watanabe, Y. Kitajima, and A. Yagishita, [J. Synchrotron Radiat.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0909049597019481) **5**, [1013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1107/S0909049597019481) (1998).
- <span id="page-6-9"></span>33Ö. Bergström, T. Gustafsson, and J. O. Thomas, [Solid State Ion-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(98)00143-X)ics 110[, 179](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2738(98)00143-X) (1998).
- <span id="page-6-10"></span>34F. M. F. de Groot, M. Grioni, J. C. Fuggle, J. Ghijsen, G. A. Sawatzky, and H. Petersen, *[Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.40.5715)* 40, 5715 (1989).
- <span id="page-6-11"></span>35Y. Ishiwata, S. Suehiro, Y. Soi, Y. Tezuka, O. Morimoto, and X. G. Zheng, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.79.054712) **79**, 054712 (2010).
- <span id="page-6-12"></span>36Y. Ishiwata, T. Takeuchi, R. Eguchi, M. Watanabe, Y. Harada, K. Kanai, A. Chainani, M. Taguchi, S. Shin, M. C. Debnath, I. Souma, Y. Oka, T. Hayashi, Y. Hashimoto, S. Katsumoto, and Y. Iye, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.121202) **71**, 121202(R) (2005).
- <span id="page-6-13"></span><sup>37</sup> A. Kotani and S. Shin, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.73.203) **73**, 203 (2001).
- <span id="page-6-14"></span>38L. Braicovich, G. Ghiringhelli, L. H. Tjeng, V. Bisogni, C. Dallera, A. Piazzalunga, W. Reichelt, and N. B. Brookes, [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.125105) Rev. B **76**[, 125105](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.125105) (2007).
- <span id="page-6-15"></span><sup>39</sup>The ratio of V surface atoms to the total number of atoms is roughly determined by  $\left[\frac{\pi d^2}{4}\right] \left(\frac{V_{n,l}}{4}\right)^{2/3} \left]\left(\frac{\pi d^3}{6}\right) \left(\frac{V_{n,l}}{12}\right)$ , where *d* denotes the diameter of 18 nm and  $V_{n,l}$  donotes the unit-cell volume in the low-temperature phase of the nanosample that is obtained to be 118.32  $\AA^3$ , as listed in Table [I.](#page-3-0)
- <span id="page-6-16"></span>40The nanosample used in the magnetic-susceptibility measurement in Fig. [8](#page-4-1) was prepared by ball milling for 30 h in  $H<sub>2</sub>(1%)/Ar$  gas. Another sample milled for 50 h in air, that was used in SR-XRD experiments, showed an almost identical result.
- <span id="page-6-18"></span>41W. A. Harrison, *Electronic Structure and the Properties of Solids* (Dover, New York, 1989).
- <span id="page-6-19"></span><sup>42</sup>The interatomic matrix element is given as follows:  $V_{pd\pi}$  $= 1.36 \frac{\hbar^2 r_d^{3/2}}{md^{7/2}}$ , where  $\hbar$ ,  $r_d$ ,  $m$ , and *d* denote the Planck's constant, the *d*-state radius, the electron mass, and the internuclear dis-tance, respectively (Ref. [41](#page-6-18)). The energy splitting due to the  $\pi$ bonding is roughly determined by  $2\sqrt{v_{pd\pi}^2 + v_3^2}$  in using the diatomic molecule model, where  $V_3$  denotes the polar energy.
- <span id="page-6-20"></span><sup>43</sup> S. Kagoshima, H. Nagasawa, and T. Sambogi, *One-Dimensional* Conductors (Springer, New York, 1989).